Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/843,102	DETREVILLE, JOHN D.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MATTHEW T. HENNING	2131	
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>71</u>		
(1) <u>MATTHEW T. HENNING</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Bea Koempel-Thomas</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 19 June 2008	Time: <u>10 AM EST</u>		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: None			
Claims discussed: 1-16, 20-52, 58-59			
Prior art documents discussed: None			
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:			
See Continuation Sheet			
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 			
/Matthew T Henning/			
Art Unit 2131 (Ap	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if a	appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: On June 18th, 2008, the examiner suggested amendments that would place claim 29 and its dependants in condition for allowance. The applicant's representative was receptive to the amendments and proposed similar changes to the remaining independent claims. On June 19th, 2008 the examiner reviewed the proposed claims, and indicated a few other changes which the applicant's representative agreed could be entered by examiner's amendment. The applicant's representative also indicated the possibility of filing a continuation..